## THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

\_\_\_\_\_

DAVID H. BEACH
Junior Party<sup>1</sup>
v.
ANDREW ARNOLD
Senior Party<sup>2</sup>

\_\_\_\_\_

Patent Interference No. 103,520

\_\_\_\_\_

Before URYNOWICZ, SOFOCLEOUS and DOWNEY, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.

DOWNEY, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

## JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.662(a)

Beach, the senior party, filed a concession of priority with respect to the subject matter of count 2 and requested therein and agreed to an entry of adverse judgment as to all the claims which correspond to the count in this interference (Paper No. 52).


Accordingly, JUDGMENT as to the subject matter of the count in issue is hereby

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Application 07/963,308, filed October 16, 1992.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Application 07/667,711, filed March 11, 1991.

Interference No. 103,520

awarded to Andrew Arnold, the junior party and against David H. Beach, the senior party. On this record, party Arnold is entitled to a patent containing claims 1-10, 15-16, 27, 30-35, 45 and 49-56 corresponding to count 2 and party Beach is not entitled to a patent containing claims 13-18 and 48-55 corresponding to the count.



MFD/caw

Susan G. L. Glovsky

Interference No. 103,520

Hamilton, Brook, Smith & Reynolds, P.C. Two Militia Drive Lexington, MA 02421

Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005-3934